

Emerging market debt ratios – opportunities and risks

It's tempting to think otherwise, but not all emerging market debt is created equal. In this piece we examine the public, private and external debt profiles of individual emerging markets more closely, and identify where the risks and opportunities lie for investors.




Simon Quijano-Evans is an emerging markets strategist at LGIM, focused on allocation and macro strategies for the EM fixed income funds.

Investing in emerging market (EM) fixed income instruments requires as clear a picture as possible on the public and private debt profile of individual countries. We take a look at recent developments in the emerging market debt space, placing the picture into context versus their developed market peers. While EM enjoys lower debt ratios than developed market peers on aggregate, it is also clear that the EM debt picture is quite disparate.

THE PUBLIC SECTOR – LESSONS LEARNED

In general, emerging market governments learned important lessons from the crises of the 1990s, resulting in a more cautious approach towards fiscal excesses and external debt exposure, as seen for example in Turkey, the Philippines, Indonesia, Mexico and Brazil. That allowed emerging markets as a whole to better weather the 2008/9 global financial crisis, while developed market peers faced a strong jump in debt/GDP ratios (Figure 1).

Figure 1: EM and DM public debt/GDP (IMF forecasts)



Data source: IMF WEO


Nonetheless, the post-2013 rise in emerging market public debt ratios (Figure 2) highlights: 1) the vulnerability of emerging market commodity exporters to commodity price shocks (mainly Middle East and ex-Soviet Union or CIS countries), 2) the damage that negative politics can have on economies, important examples being Brazil, Egypt and South Africa, and 3) the expansive fiscal policy reaction that was needed in China to cushion the domestic (and global) economy against a hard landing.

Indeed, although Figure 4 highlights the relatively benign public debt picture in numerous emerging market countries, it also provides a reminder of how diverse the emerging market macro and public debt picture is. That, in turn, emphasizes the importance of active debt management strategies to deal with the risks and opportunities of investing in emerging markets. Figure 3 reflects that point, with the market pricing in very different spreads for countries with similar debt/GDP ratios, essentially a function of varying degrees of political and reform risk.

THE PRIVATE SECTOR – CHINA LEADS THE WAY


Just as the public sector debt backdrop in emerging markets has become more constructive in the past decade, the lower degree of “crowding out” by the public sector appears to have paved the way for sizeable increases in private sector debt ratios, although most of that has come out of China (Figure 5). Bank for International Settlements (BIS) data of total credit in the private sector is the most comprehensive cross-country dataset available. The data splits banks’ claims into: 1) loans by domestic banks to their local economy (Figure 6, which includes bond

Figure 2: Public debt/GDP by region




Data source: IMF WEO

Figure 3: Public debt/GDP vs. 5Y CDS spreads




Data source: IMF WEO, Bloomberg. As at 20 June 2017

Figure 4: EM public debt/GDP by country




Data source: IMF WEO

Figure 5: Domestic bank credit to private sector in % of GDP




Data source: BIS

Figure 6: Domestic bank credit by country to private sector in % of GDP



Data source: BIS

Figure 7: Q3 2016 total bank credit to the private sector + public debt (incl. cross-border lending)



Data source: BIS, IMF WEO


purchases by banks) and 2) total bank and other credit to the private sectors of individual economies, including cross-border lending by international banks and credit cards (Figure 7).

In Figure 7, we add public sector debt/GDP to provide an overall picture of individual countries' total debt exposure (including external debt liabilities), with numerous emerging market nations looking relatively less leveraged on a global scale. Given the substantial increase in credit provided by banks in China, the country clearly leads the way in emerging markets as far as total debt/GDP is concerned, closely followed by South Korea which, like Malaysia and to a lesser extent Thailand, faced a post-2008 increase in domestic bank lending.

EXTERNAL DEBT – CURRENCY RISK


However, at the same time, China, Malaysia and Thailand face relatively low external debt/GDP ratios (i.e. debt owed to the rest of the world), as Figure 8 shows, while countries like Brazil and Mexico reduced their exposure and built up FX reserves after having learned from crises of prior decades. This is especially relevant in emerging markets, where exchange rate volatility always provides an added risk component for investments (particularly in countries such as Turkey or South Africa, which have a low FX reserve cushion). And, larger levels of external debt (usually denominated in foreign currency), as well as higher amounts of local currency bonds held by non-residents, increase the fiscal costs for any particular emerging market country in the event of a sharp currency depreciation.

Figure 8: Gross external debt by sector in % of GDP



Data source: BIS

Figure 9: External debt/GDP adjusted for bank assets abroad



Data source: BIS

Note: a negative figure means banks are net lenders to the world

Any comparison between external debt data in emerging market and developed market countries needs to be taken with a pinch of salt. ‘Gross’ external debt data (i.e. total outstanding debt) is widely used in emerging markets for cross-country comparison, on the assumption that individual banking systems in emerging markets are generally net external borrowers. At the other end of the scale, the assumption is that the banks of developed market countries are usually net lenders.

To reflect that point, we adjust Figure 8 (which shows ‘gross’ external debt for all sectors), using net external liabilities for the banking sector in Figure 9 (i.e. subtracting claims abroad from gross external liabilities). Figure 9 shows how developed market banks are generally net lenders. However, total external debt ratios still show higher exposure of Eurozone countries than emerging markets (not least because of the issuance of euro-denominated debt that is held by non-residents in other Eurozone countries), while the Japanese banking sector stands as the largest net lender to the world both in % GDP and in absolute terms.

SO WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR EM INVESTMENTS?

A look at the details of emerging market debt shows a diverse backdrop that has some countries more exposed to domestic and/or corporate debt (e.g. China and Brazil) and others more exposed to external debt (e.g. Croatia, Ukraine, Malaysia and to a certain extent Hungary).

From the point of view of a foreign investor, that means dealing with a mix of FX risk on the one hand, and any potential financing risks on the other, although clearly the picture in many emerging market countries has not only improved from a historical point of view but also relative to some developed market countries.

The diverse sovereign and corporate debt spectrum of such a wide group of emerging market countries highlights the need for investors to scrutinise their individual debt profiles more closely to ensure not only that risks are contained, but also that opportunities are identified.

Important Notice

This document is designed for the use of professional investors and their advisers. No responsibility can be accepted by Legal & General Investment Management Limited or contributors as a result of information contained in this publication. Specific advice should be taken when dealing with specific situations. The views expressed in Fixed Income Focus by any contributor are not necessarily those of Legal & General Investment Management Limited and Legal & General Investment Management Limited may or may not have acted upon them. Past performance is not a guide to future performance. This document may not be used for the purposes of an offer or solicitation to anyone in any jurisdiction in which such offer or solicitation is not authorised or to any person to whom it is unlawful to make such offer or solicitation.

© 2017 Legal & General Investment Management Limited. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying and recording, without the written permission of the publishers.

Legal & General Investment Management Ltd,
One Coleman Street,
London, EC2R 5AA

www.lgim.com

Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

M1435